**Evaluation Rubric**

Judges will utilize the following rubric to evaluate submissions.

# REFLECTIVE ESSAY TO PRIZE COMMITTEE (25 POINTS)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Accomplished** (18-25) | **Proficient / Competent** (9-17) | **Developing** (0-8) |
| Search strategies are appropriate and well described, for example: * Identifying types of information needed
* Use of various research tools & sources used appropriate to the inquiry
* Persistence & initiative in gaining access to sources
* Use of flexible & creative search terms & strategies
* Adjustments to search strategies in response to success/failure
* Articulation & utilization of specific investigative techniques unique to the discipline
 | Search strategies are described generally, for example: * Identifying standard finding aids & services, but omitting other appropriate resources
* Describing minimal or misguided efforts to optimize search terms
* Failing to address challenges, information gaps or responses to failed searches
* Revealing an over-reliance of materials available on campus
* Not utilizing investigative methods appropriate to the discipline
 | Search strategies omitted or very general, for example: * Not including evidence of appropriate resources or search strategies
* Not identifying appropriate finding aids or tools for the

given context * Not including clear methodology for gathering

discipline-specific information  |
| Displays clear criteria for evaluation of sources selected, including all of the following: * Relevance
* Authority/credibility
* Scope/coverage
* Accuracy
* Currency
* Particular viewpoints
 | Criteria for evaluation of selected sources incomplete or unclear  | Does not identify criteria for evaluation of selected sources  |
| Demonstrates a good awareness of diverse viewpoints/influences  | Demonstrates a limited awareness of other viewpoints/influences  | Does not demonstrate an awareness of other viewpoints/influences  |
| Distinguishes own original contribution from that of others  | Identifies own ideas, but doesn’t distinguish them from those of others  | Does not evaluate own ideas or those encountered in the scholarship  |

# RESEARCH PAPER OR CREATIVE PROJECT (10 POINTS)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Accomplished (8-10)**  | **Proficient / Competent (4-7)**  | **Developing (0-3)**  |
| Well-written or well presented, clearly identifying convergence of evidence and argument  | Writing or presentation occasionally lacks clarity or emphasis  | Poorly written or presented  |
| Sources used appropriately in support of argument/thesis  | Some claims or assertions lack references  | Unsupported claims or assertions  |
| Numerical data or primary sources contextualized and used appropriately  | Quotes or data occasionally inappropriately used or poorly integrated into argument  | Quotes or data not used appropriately, e.g. primary data obtained from secondary sources  |
| Quotes and acquired ideas well selected and integrated into applicant’s argument  | Quotes or acquired ideas generally used appropriately, but could be better selected or synthesized for conciseness/originality.  | Poor selection of quotes (e.g. from sources that do not support applicant’s argument or address point) or heavy reliance on quotes instead of synthesizing material  |

# BIBLIOGRAPHY (10 POINTS)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Accomplished** (8-10) | **Proficient / Competent** (4-7) | **Developing** (0-3) |
| Appropriately uses sources that display a rich diversity of content, provenance and/or format  | Uses a range of sources appropriate to the topic  | Relies on too few sources  |
| Sources display the ability to dig beneath the surface of information in the search for relevant material  | Sources meet assignment requirements, but may lack breadth, rigor or relevance  | Unclear why some sources were selected  |
| Citations are accurate, complete and standardized (a few formatting errors can be forgiven)  | Some citations are incomplete or unstandardized  | Many citations are incomplete (lacking sufficient information to locate the source cited) or unstandardized (lacking a consistent style)  |

# SUPPORTING LETTER (5 POINTS)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Accomplished** (4-5) | **Proficient / Competent** (2-3) | **Developing** (0-1) |
| Explains how project addresses significant questions within the discipline & clearly articulates the stakes  | Indicates that argument has some originality, but generally takes a familiar path, OR that the argument is original but stakes are low  | Points to little or no originality in topic / approach or indicates that the question is no or low stakes  |
| Discusses and affirms the appropriateness of argumentation, methods, and sources selected  | Provides limited information about the appropriateness of argumentation, methods, and sources used  | Does not discuss, or expresses doubt about, the appropriateness of argumentation, methods, and sources used  |

